RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2	99	LECTION COMMISSION 9 E Street, N.W.	2012 DEC -5 AM 9: 30
3	Wash	nington, D.C. 20463	API A
5	FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		CELA
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		AUDIT REFERRAL: 12-07 DATE REFERRED: Augus LAST RESPONSE RECEIV DATE ACTIVATED: Nove EXPIRATION OF SOL: £a	t 15, 2012 ZED: November 15, 2012 Ember 26, 2012
14 15	SOURCE:	Internally Generated	
16 17 18 19	RESPONDENTS:	The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee and James Lacy in his official capacity as treasurer	
20	RELEVANT STATUTES		•
21 22 23 24 25	AND REGULATIONS:	2 U.S.C. § 431(17) 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(6)(B)(iii) a 11 C.F.R. § 100.22 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(3)(vii) 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(a), (b) and	
26 27 28	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Audit Documents Disclosure Reports	
29 30 31	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None .	
32	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
33	The Audit Division referred this matter to the Office of General Counsel following an		
34	audit of the activity of The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee ("LCPAC") covering		
35	the period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. See 2 U.S.C. § 438(b). See Audit		
36	Referral at Attachment 1. The Final Audit Report ("FAR"), approved by the Commission on		
37	July 31, 2012, contained a finding that LCPAC failed to timely file 24- and 48-hour notices of		
38	independent expenditures and failed to properly disclose independent expenditures on Schedule		

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

notices. Id. at 3-4.

- 1 E of its reports filed with the Commission. On the basis of the FAR, we recommend that the
- 2 Commission open a Matter Under Review, find reason to believe that LCPAC and James Lacy in

LCPAC is a multi-candidate committee that has been filing reports with the Commission

- 3 his official capacity as treasurer ("Respondents") violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(6)(B)(iii) and (g)
- 4 and 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(a), (b) and (c), and enter into pre-probable cause conciliation.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

since December 2006. Pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 438(b), the Commission authorized an audit of LCPAC's activity during the period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. During the audit, the Commission examined whether LCPAC properly reported its expenditures, including those made in connection with 60 separate direct mail fundraising appeals, a number of which included express advocacy. LCPAC originally reported these expenditures as operating expenditures. After discussions with the Commission's Reports Analysis Division, LCPAC disclosed over \$1 million in independent expenditures on Schedule E of its amended reports and filed, belatedly, most of the 24- and 48-hour notices where such notices would have been required. See Attachment 1 at 3. The Audit Division determined that some, but not all, of the fundraising letters disclosed as independent expenditures in LCPAC's amended reports contained express advocacy and should have been timely disclosed through 24- and 48-hour

During the audit process, Respondents asserted that the purpose of their direct mail letters was fundraising, not supporting or opposing candidates in elections, and that as a result their spending did not require reporting as independent expenditures. *Id.* at 2, 4-5. Rejecting this

The FAR is available on the Commission's website. See Audit Report – The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee, http://www.fec.gov/audits/2008/The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee/FinalAuditReportoftheCommission1223257.pdf.

AR 12-07 (The Legacy Committee PAC) First General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 8

- 1 position, in part, on July 31, 2012, the Commission approved an audit finding that LCPAC did
- 2 not timely file 24- and 48-hour notices for independent expenditures totaling \$281,439, did not
- 3 file 24-hour notices for independent expenditures totaling \$17,571, and did not properly disclose
- 4 independent expenditures totaling \$123,326 prior to payment as memo entries on Schedule E and
- 5 as reportable debts on Schedule D (Debts and Obligations). See Attachment 1 at 2, 5.
- The Audit Division referred this matter to this Office on August 15, 2012. On August 27,
- 7 2012, this Office notified Respondents of the reformal in accordance with the Commission's
- 8 poliny regarding notification in non-complaint generated matters. 74 Fed. Reg. 38617 (Aug. 4,
- 9 2009). Respondents responded to the Commission's notification on November 15, 2012,
- 10 reiterating their position that the communications were intended to raise funds, and not to
- "persuade the voters to vote in a primary or general election during the period involved."
- 12 Response at 1.

III. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

- 14 The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, (the "Act") defines
- 15 "independent expenditure" as an expenditure by a person expressly advocating the election or
- defeat of a clearly identified federal candidate that is not made in concert or cooperation with or
- 17 at the request or suggestion of such candidate, the candidate's authorized political committee, er
- their agents, or a political party committee or its agents. 2 U.S.C. § 431(17). Under the

19

13

On June 7, 2012, the Commission considered but failed by a vote of 3-3 to approve an audit finding that LCPAC did not timely file 24- and 48-hour notices for independent expenditures totaling \$374,327, did not file 24-hour notices for independent expenditures totaling \$17,571, and did not properly disclose independent expenditures totaling \$293,575 prior to payment as memo entries on Schedule E and as reportable debts on Schedule D (Debts and Obligations). See Attachment 1 at 5; Commission Certification for A09-22 (The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee) (June 11, 2012).

N

S S S

<u>4</u>

O

- 1 Commission's regulations at 11 C.F.R. § 100.22(a), express advocacy includes phrases such as
- 2 "vote for the President" or "defeat" accompanied by a picture of one or more candidates. It also
- 3 includes campaign slogans or individual words, "which in context can have no other reasonable
- 4 meaning than to urge the election or defeat of one or more clearly identified candidate(s)." Id;
- 5 see also 11 C.F.R. § 100.22(b).
- 6 Every political committee that makes independent expenditures must report those
- 7 expenditures in its regularly scheduled disclosure reports in accordance with 11 C.F.R.
- 8 § 104.3(b)(3)(vii). 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(a). Such a political committee must disclose on Schedule
- 9 E the name of a person who receives any disbursement during the reporting period in an
- aggregate amount or value in excess of \$200 within the calendar year in connection with an
- 11 independent expenditure by the reporting committee. The report also must disclose the date,
- amount, and purpose of any such independent expenditure and include a statement that indicates
- whether such independent expenditure is in support of or in opposition to a candidate, as well as
- the name and office sought by such candidate. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(6)(B)(iii); 11 C.F.R.
- 15 §§ 104.3(b)(3)(vii), 104.4(a). Independent expenditures of \$200 or less do not need to be
- itemized, though the committee must report the total of those expenditures on line (b) of
- 17 Schedule E. Id. Further, a debt or objigation over \$500 must be reported as of the date on which
- the debt or ohligation is incurred. 11 C.F.R. § 104.11(b). Independent expenditures made (i.e.,
- 19 publicly disseminated) prior to payment should be disclosed as memo entries on Schedule E and
- as reportable debt on Schedule D (Debts and Obligations). Committees are required to maintain
- 21 records that provide information with sufficient detail so that the reports may be verified.
- 22 11 C.F.R. § 104.14(b)(1).

1 Under certain circumstances, independent expenditures made by a political committee 2 require additional immediate disclosure prior to disclosure on the committee's regularly 3 scheduled disclosure reports. A political committee that makes or contracts to make independent 4 expenditures aggregating \$10,000 or more in connection with a given election at any time during .5 a calendar year up to and including the 20th day before the date of an election is required to file a 6 report describing the expenditures within 48 hours. 2 U.S.C. § 434(g)(2)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(b)(2). These reports, known as 48-hour notices, must be filed by the end of the second 7 8 day "following the date on which a communication that constitutes an independent expenditure 9 is publicly distributed or otherwise publicly disseminated." 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(b)(2). A 10 committee is required to file additional reports within 48 hours after each time it makes or 11 contracts to make independent expenditures aggregating an additional \$10,000. 2 U.S.C. 12 § 434(g)(2)(B); 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(b)(2). 13 A political committee that makes or contracts to make independent expenditures 14 aggregating \$1,000 or more in connection with a given election after the 20th day but more than 15 24 hours before the date of an election is required to file a report describing the expenditures 16 within 24 hours. 2 U.S.C. § 434(g)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(c). These reports, known as 24-17 hour notices, must be filed within 24 hours "following the date on which a communication that 18 constitutes an independent expenditure is publicly distributed or otherwise publicly 19 disseminated." 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(c). A political committee must file additional reports within 20 24 hours after each time it makes or contracts to make independent expenditures aggregating an 21 additional \$1,000. 2 U.S.C. § 434(g)(1)(B); 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(c). 22 As set forth in the Referral, see Attachment 1 at 5, LCPAC failed to file timely 24- and 23 48-hour notices for independent expenditures totaling \$281,439 and failed to file 24-hour notices

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 for independent expenditures totaling \$17,571, as required by 2 U.S.C. § 434(g) and 11 C.F.R.
- 2 § 104.4(b) and (c), and did not properly disclose independent expenditures totaling \$123,326
- 3 prior to payment as memo entries on Schedule E and as reportable debts on Schedule D (Debts
- 4 and Obligations), as required by 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(6)(B)(iii) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(a).³
- Based on the foregoing, we recommend that the Commission find reason to believe that
- 6 Respondents violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(6)(B)(iii) and (g) and 11 C.P.R. § 104.4(a), (b) and (c).

As noted, Respondents asserted during the audit process that the purpose of their direct mail letters was fundraising, not intervening in elections, and that their spending did not require reporting as independent expenditures. The Commission, however, has determined that LCPAC's communications comprising the referred amounts constitute express advocacy and thus required reporting as independent expenditures.

AR 12-07 (The Legacy Committee PAC) First General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 8

V. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 11 1. Open a MUR;
- Find reason to believe that The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee and James Lacy in his official capacity as treasurer violated 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(6)(B)(iii) and (g) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.4(a), (b) and (c);
- 173. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;
 - 4. Enter into conciliation with The Legacy Committee Political Action Committee and James Lacy in his official capacity as treasurer prior to a finding of probable cause to believe;

LCPAC thereby filed 80.5% of the \$299,010 in notices that comprise the overall untimely and unfiled notices in the referral (\$281,439 untimely plus \$17,571 unfiled) prior to the election. LCPAC filed the remainder of the untimely notices, totaling \$40,617, on November 4, 2008, the date of the election.

12-5-12

AR 12-07 (The Legacy Committee PAC)

Date

6. Approve the appropriate letter.

Anthony Herman General Counsel

Kathleen M. Guith

Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Peter G. Blumberg

Assistant General Counsel

Mark Allen

Attorney